

Effective Lagrange function of intense electromagnetic field in QED

V.I.Ritus

Lebedev Physical Institute, 117924 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

The short survey of computation and properties of effective Lagrange function of intensive field in two-loop approximation accounting for radiative interaction of virtual electrons is given. The renormalization of field, charge and mass is completely defined by the weak field behaviour of the exact Lagrange function: its real part must be Maxwellian and imaginary part must be quasiclassical $\propto \exp(-\pi m^2 c^3 / \hbar e \epsilon)$. For weak electric field radiative interaction manifests itself as electron mass shift. Using the renorminvariance at strong field and exponentiation at weak field it is possible to obtain information about contributions of high order in α .

Published in Proceedings of the conference 'Frontier Tests of QED and Physics of the Vacuum' Sandanski, Bulgaria, 9-15 June, 1998 (Eds. E.Zavattini, D.Bakalov, C.Rizzo)
Heron Press, Sofia, 1998

1 Introduction

In 1936 Heisenberg and Euler [1] found a quantum correction to the Lagrange function of a constant electromagnetic field. The correction takes into account the polarization of the vacuum, i.e., the change induced by the external field in the motion of the vacuum electrons. With this correction included, the Lagrange function is equal to

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\epsilon^2 - \eta^2}{2} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s} e^{-im^2 s} \left(\frac{e^2 \eta \epsilon}{\tan e\eta s \tanh e\epsilon s} - \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{e^2(\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)}{3} \right). \quad (1)$$

Here ϵ and η are the intensities of the electric and magnetic fields in the reference frame in which they are parallel. Other derivations of the polarization correction to $\mathcal{L}$ have been proposed by Weisskopf [2] and Schwinger [3]. The effective Lagrangian (1) is the integrand of action W and defines the vacuum conservation amplitude

$$e^{iW}, \quad W = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}, \quad (2)$$

in the presence of the field. It has served as the basis for nonlinear quantum electrodynamics, in which the effects are sensitive to the intensity of the electromagnetic field and are optimal for fields F of the order of $F_0 = m^2 c^3 / e\hbar$ (in the proper frame of electrons interacting with a field). In (1) and below the system of units in which $\hbar = c = 1$ and $\alpha = e^2 / 4\pi\hbar c = 1/137$ is used.

Polarization of the vacuum is induced not only by the external electromagnetic field but also by the individual quanta of this fields—the photons. The photon polarization function π_R , which describes this phenomenon and defines the exact propagator

$$D_R(k) = \frac{1}{k^2(1 + \pi_R(k))} \quad (3)$$

of a photon in vacuum, has been found (in different representations) by Serber [4], Uehling [5], Schwinger [6,3] and Feynman [7]. It constitutes a basis for investigations of quantum electrodynamics at small distances [8-14]. The radiative corrections of fourth order [15-17] and (partially) sixth order [18] have been found for the function π_R .

It is interesting to compare the vacuum polarization by an intense field with the vacuum polarization by quanta of large momenta, thereby linking the intense field electrodynamics with the electrodynamics at small distances. For this it is natural to find the next radiative corrections to Lagrange function of an intense field and, above all, the correction associated with the change induced by the external field in the radiative interaction of the vacuum electrons. This correction was found by author in papers [19] and [20] for spinor and scalar quantum electrodynamics. Its simplicity is explained by the compactness of the Green function G_0 that takes the interaction with the external field into account exactly.

2 Lagrange function in two-loop approximation and its regularization

The starting equation for evaluation of Lagrange function corrections is the equation

$$\delta W = \int d^4x \delta A_\alpha \langle j_\alpha(x) \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where the average value of current operator is defined by exact Green function of electron

$$\langle j_\alpha(x) \rangle = -ie \operatorname{tr} \gamma_\alpha(x|G|x), \quad (5)$$

while the change of external field potential is connected with Green function G_0 without radiation corrections:

$$e\gamma\delta A = \delta G_0^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

Then after the integration of δW with these ingredients it appears that the first-order nonlinear correction to Maxwell Lagrange function is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) = i \operatorname{tr} (x|\ln G_0|x) \quad (7)$$

and corresponds to one-loop diagram 1 in the figure, while the second-order nonlinear correction is equal to

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (x|M^{(2)}G_0|x) \quad (8)$$

and is characterized by two two-loop diagrams 2a and 2b in the figure. The double line in this figure represents a virtual electron interacting with the external field, and the wavy line represents a virtual photon. In the case of a constant and uniform external field, which is considered here, the tadpole diagram 2b makes no contribution to $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ since the average current induced in the vacuum by such a field is equal to zero. Thus, in this case the polarization correction $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ takes into account the interaction of the electron and positron of one virtual pair.

To calculate

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}ie^2 \int d^4x' \operatorname{tr} [\gamma_\mu G_0(x, x')\gamma_\mu G_0(x', x)] D_0(x - x') \quad (9)$$

we shall make use of the Green function of an electron in a constant field, found by Fock [21] and Schwinger [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(x, x') &= \frac{-i \exp[i\phi(x, x')]}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^2} \left[m - \frac{i}{2} \gamma(\beta + eF)z \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[-im^2s - L(s) + \frac{iz\beta z}{4} + \frac{ie\sigma F s}{2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here

$$\beta = eF \coth eFs, \quad L(s) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln[(eFs)^{-1} \sinh eFs]$$

are the matrix and scalar functions of the matrix F , $z = x - x'$, and ϕ is the off-diagonal phase of the Green function, equal to the line integral of potential $eA_\mu(y)$ along the straight line joining the points x' and x . Finally,

$$D_0(z) = \frac{-i}{16\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^2} \exp\left(\frac{iz^2}{4t}\right) \quad (11)$$

is the photon Green function in proper-time representation.

After integration over space-time and over photon proper-time and regularization with the help of small electron proper-time s_0 the $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ is represented in the following form:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = -\mathcal{L}^{(0)} \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m^2 s_0} - \frac{5}{3} + \frac{5}{3} \ln 2 \right) + \delta m^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}}{\partial m^2} + \mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)} = \frac{-i\alpha}{16\pi^3} \int_0^\infty ds \left\{ \int_0^s ds' \left[K(s, s') - \frac{K_0(s)}{s'} \right] + K_0(s) \left(\ln i\gamma m^2 s - \frac{5}{6} \right) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\delta m^2 = \frac{3\alpha m^2}{2\pi} \left(\ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m^2 s_0} + \frac{5}{6} \right) \quad (14)$$

is the electromagnetic part of the square of the electron mass, so that $m^2 = m_0^2 + \delta m^2$ is the square of the real electron mass. The functions $K(s, s')$ and $K_0(s)$ are given in Appendix.

At the same time, the first-order radiative correction to Lagrange function has the form

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}^{(0)} \frac{\alpha_0}{3\pi} \ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m_0^2 s_0} + \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}(m_0^2), \quad (15)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}$ is determined by formula (1) and the unrenormalized fine-structure constant and electron mass are indicated by the subscript zero.

3 Charge and mass renormalization

Then the unrenormalized Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_0^2 - \eta_0^2)$$

of the Maxwell field and the terms proportional to it in the expressions (15) and (12) are collected into the renormalized Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon^2 - \eta^2),$$

namely,

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(0)} = \mathcal{L}^{(0)} Z_3^{-1},$$

$$Z_3^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_0}{3\pi} \ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m_0^2 s_0} - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m_0^2 s_0} - \frac{5}{3} + \frac{5}{3} \ln 2 \right), \quad (16)$$

thereby leading to renormalization of the field and charge:

$$\eta = \eta_0 Z_3^{-1/2}, \quad \epsilon = \epsilon_0 Z_3^{-1/2}, \quad e = e_0 Z_3^{1/2} \quad (17)$$

(we have indicated the unrenormalized values of the field and charge by the subscript zero), and the term $\delta m^2 \partial \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)} / \partial m^2$ in (12) effects the renormalization of the electron mass:

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}(m_0^2) + \delta m^2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}(m_0^2)}{\partial m_0^2} = \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}(m^2); \quad (18)$$

the functions $\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}(m^2)$ and $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}(m^2)$ are determined by formulas (1) and (13). The arguments $e_0 \eta_0 = e \eta$, $e_0 \epsilon_0 = e \epsilon$ of these functions are invariant under the renormalization (17). The factor Z_3^{-1} can be expressed by means of (14) in terms of the renormalized electron mass:

$$Z_3^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_0}{3\pi} \ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m^2 s_0} + \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{1}{i\gamma m^2 s_0} + \frac{10}{3} - \frac{5}{3} \ln 2 \right). \quad (19)$$

The coefficients of the logarithms in this expression coincide with those known in literature; cf., e.g., [14].

Thus, up to radiative corrections of second order in α , the nonlinear Lagrange function of a constant field is equal to

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}_R^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}(m^2) + \mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}(m^2), \quad (20)$$

in which the latter expression contains only the renormalized values of the electron charge and mass.

Note, that because of the absence of mass parameter in $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ the necessity of mass renormalization appears only at consideration of two-loop and higher corrections to $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$.

4 Asymptotic properties of $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$, connection with QED at small distances

We shall find the limiting expressions for the Lagrange function $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ for weak and strong fields, and consider its imaginary part in detail.

Expanding the functions $K(s, s')$ and $K_0(s)$ in the field and integrating these expansions over the proper times s and s' , we obtain, according to formula (13),

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha^3}{\pi m^4} \left[\frac{16}{81} (\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)^2 + \frac{263}{162} (\eta \epsilon)^2 \right] + \dots; \quad \frac{e\eta}{m^2}, \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2} \ll 1. \quad (21)$$

In expression (21) the imaginary part of $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$, which is exponentially small compared with the real part and cannot be represented by a series in powers of the field, is absent. In general, the function $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ has an essential singularity at the point $eF = 0$, so that the series (21) for the real part of $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ is asymptotic.

In the case of a strong magnetic field the calculations are conveniently carried out by first rotating the contours of integration over the proper times : $s, s' \rightarrow -is, -is'$. We then obtain, with logarithmic accuracy,

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)} \approx \frac{\alpha^2 \eta^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{e\eta}{\gamma\pi m^2} + a_2 \right), \quad \frac{e\eta}{m^2} \gg 1, \quad \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2} \leq 1. \quad (22)$$

The uncalculated constant a_2 , additive to logarithm, is real.

The case of a strong electric field can be obtained from (22) by the transformation $\eta \rightarrow -i\epsilon$:

$$\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)} \approx -\frac{\alpha^2 \epsilon^2}{8\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{e\epsilon}{\gamma\pi m^2} - \frac{i\pi}{2} + a_2 \right), \quad \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2} \gg 1, \quad \frac{e\eta}{m^2} \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

In this way the correct asymptotic expression for the imaginary part of $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ is obtained.

It is useful to compare formulas (21) and (22) with the corresponding formulas for $\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)} &\approx \frac{2\alpha^2}{45m^4} [(\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)^2 + 7(\eta\epsilon)^2], \quad \frac{e\eta}{m^2}, \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2} \ll 1, \\ \mathcal{L}_R^{(1)} &\approx \frac{\alpha\eta^2}{6\pi} \left(\ln \frac{e\eta}{\gamma\pi m^2} + \frac{6}{\pi^2} \zeta'(2) \right), \quad \frac{e\eta}{m^2} \gg 1, \quad \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2} \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Here $6\pi^{-2}\zeta'(2) = -0.5699610\dots$; $\zeta(x)$ is the Riemann zeta-function. As can be seen from the asymptotic formulas obtained, the function $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)} \sim \alpha\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}$, irrespective of the magnitude of the field. In Sec.8 it will be shown that this is an exception, and the ratio of the subsequent radiative corrections will be of order $(\alpha/\pi) \ln(eF/m^2)$ for large fields.

A remarkable property of the functions $\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ is the fact that for large magnetic (electric) fields the ratios

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{L}_R^{(1)}}{\mathcal{L}_R^{(0)}} &\approx \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{e\eta}{\gamma\pi m^2} - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \zeta'(2) \right), \\ \frac{\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}}{\mathcal{L}_R^{(0)}} &\approx \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{e\eta}{\gamma\pi m^2} + a_{20} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

behave, with logarithmic accuracy, in the same way as the polarization functions of second and fourth order in e at large values of the squares of the space-like (time-like) momenta

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_R^{(2)} &\approx \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(-\frac{1}{3} \ln \frac{k^2}{m^2} + \frac{5}{9} \right), \\ \pi_R^{(4)} &\approx \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{k^2}{m^2} + \frac{5}{24} - \zeta(3) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

By the nature of the problem, the Lagrange function and the polarization function describe the same phenomenon and are determined by the effective value of the operator Π^2 , $\Pi_\alpha = p_\alpha - eA_\alpha$, responsible for the interaction of the vacuum electrons with the quanta or with the field. At large momenta of the quanta, or high fields, the average value of Π^2 becomes of the order of $k^2 \gg m^2$ for the quanta and of the order of $(eFx)^2 \sim eF \gg m^2$ for the field, since in this case the Lagrange function is formed over distances $x \sim (eF)^{-1/2}$ that are short compared with the Compton wavelength.

Therefore, the Lagrange function of the constant field also correctly describes the polarization corrections for varying fields, if the fields are sufficiently intense. For example, the well-known Uehling-Serber correction to the Coulomb field at short distances:

$$\epsilon = \frac{q}{4\pi r^2} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \left(\ln \frac{1}{(\gamma m r)^2} - \frac{5}{3} \right) \right], \quad r \ll m^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

calculated with the aid of the polarization function π_R , can also be found, with logarithmic accuracy, with the aid of the Lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha \epsilon^2}{6\pi} \ln \frac{e\epsilon}{\gamma \pi m^2}$$

of a constant electric field, if we solve for ϵ the expression for the induction

$$\frac{q}{4\pi r^2} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \epsilon} \approx \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \ln \frac{e\epsilon}{\gamma \pi m^2} \right), \quad (28)$$

which is equal to $q/4\pi r^2$ since its divergence is equal to zero.

5 The virial representation of the imaginary part of the Lagrange function

We consider now the imaginary part of the Lagrange function $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$. We recall, in this connection, that $e^{i\mathcal{L}VT}$ is the amplitude and $|e^{i\mathcal{L}VT}|^2 = \exp(-2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}VT)$ is the probability of vacuum conservation in volume V for a time T .

For the imaginary part of the Lagrange function of a constant and homogeneous electric field ϵ in the one-loop approximation Schwinger [3] obtained the representation

$$2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = (2s+1) \frac{(e\epsilon)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\pm 1)^{n+1}}{n^2} e^{-\pi n/\beta}, \quad \beta = \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2}. \quad (29)$$

Here and below, the upper and lower signs correspond to charged Fermi and Bose particles with spin $s = 1/2$ and $s = 0$. As can be seen from this representation, the decay rates of the vacua of Fermi and Bose fields differ under otherwise equal conditions by not only the spin

statistical weight $(2s+1)$. To elucidate the physical meaning of the individual terms of the representation (29), it is convenient to use Nikishov's representation [22]

$$\frac{2}{\hbar}\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(1)}VT = \mp \sum_r \int \frac{d^3pV}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \ln(1 \mp \bar{n}_p),$$

$$\bar{n}_p = \exp\left(-\pi \frac{m^2 + p_\perp^2}{e\epsilon}\right), \quad (30)$$

which relates the imaginary part of the Lagrange function of the field to the mean number $\bar{n}_p$ of pairs produced by the field in the state with given momentum and spin projection $p = \vec{p}, r$. The distribution $\bar{n}_p$ is degenerated with respect to the spin projection r and the momentum component $p_\parallel$ longitudinal with respect to the field with degeneracies $2s+1$ and $L_\parallel \Delta p_\parallel / 2\pi\hbar$, where $\Delta p_\parallel = e\epsilon T$ (see [22,23]).

It was pointed out in [24] that the right-hand side of the representation (30) as a functional of $\bar{n}_p$ is equal to PV/Θ for an ideal Fermi or Bose gas, where P, V , and Θ are the pressure, volume, and temperature. Then $2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(1)}/\hbar$ is analogous to the rate of growth of the pressure in units of Θ .

Expansion of $\ln(1 \mp \bar{n}_p)$ in (30) in a series in powers of $\bar{n}_p$ and term-by-term integration over $p_\perp$ leads to the series (29). Therefore, the first term of the series (29) is the mean number $\bar{n}$ of pairs in unit 4-volume [22], and the approximate expression

$$2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(1)} \approx \bar{n} = (2s+1) \frac{(e\epsilon)^2}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-\pi/\beta} \quad (31)$$

is analogous to the equation of state of an ideal gas (Clapeyron's equation). The following terms of the series (29) with $n \geq 2$ are the quantum-mechanical exchange corrections to the rate of growth of the pressure describing the additional Fermi-repulsion or Bose-attraction of the particles for the given mean 4-density of their number. They arise because of coherent pair creation, i.e., creation of $n \geq 2$ pairs in the same 4-volume of pair formation.

Therefore, (29) is a virial expansion for $2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ in powers of the parameter

$$\exp(-\pi/\beta) \approx \bar{n}(e\epsilon)^{-2},$$

which is the mean number of pairs in the 4-volume of pair formation [24]. Such a representation is analogous to the virial expansion of the pressure of an ideal Fermi or Bose gas in powers of the degeneracy parameter, i.e., the mean number of particles in the 3-volume determined by the thermal de Broglie wavelength (see §56 in [25]).

In statistical physics the virial expansion of the pressure proved to be very convenient for describing the deviation of the state of a gas from the ideal state not only due to the effects of quantum-mechanical degeneracy but also the force interaction of molecules. In our case, the force, i.e., radiative, interaction of the vacuum charges is taken into account by the two-loop correction $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ to the Lagrange function of the electromagnetic field, which was found in [19,20] in proper-time representation.

In the paper by Lebedev and author [26] the imaginary part of the Lagrange function of a constant electric field in the two-loop approximation was represented in the form (29),

i.e., for $2\text{Im}\mathcal{L}$ we obtain with allowance for the radiative interaction of the vacuum charges the virial representation

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\text{Im}(\mathcal{L}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}) = \\ & = (2s + 1) \frac{(e\epsilon)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^{n+1} \left[\frac{1}{n^2} + \alpha\pi K_n(\beta, s) \right] e^{-n\pi/\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The complicated function $K_n(\beta, s)$ simplifies for $n\beta \ll 1$ and in this region does not depend on the spin:

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(\beta, s) &= -\frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\beta}} + 1 + \dots, \\ c_1 &= 0, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k(n-k)}}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

the dots denote terms that vanish as $\beta \rightarrow 0$. For $n = 1$, this result was found earlier by author in [19] and [20].

6 Renormalization principle immanent to Lagrange function

Below I give a physical interpretation of the radiation correction (33) on the basis of the independent physical meaning of the terms in the virial expansion, which gives a picture of coherent pair production. This meaning of the individual terms of the virial series makes it possible to transform the radiative correction into a field-dependent correction to the mass of a charge participating in group tunneling. Such a transformation is equivalent to summation of the radiative corrections of all orders in α in a weak field. Moreover, in all terms of the virial expansion the mass of the charge does not acquire finite corrections (non-vanishing with the field), this being due to the correct renormalization of the mass of the charge and to the quasiclassical meaning of the n th term of the virial expansion for a weak field (with regard to the quasiclassical nature of terms of the series (29), see [27]).

Indeed, it was shown in [19] and [20] that by means of the Lagrange function one can uniquely renormalize the charge and mass of the particles by requiring that in the weak-field limit the real part of the exact Lagrange function be Maxwellian and the imaginery part be quasiclassical:

$$\text{Im}\mathcal{L} = (e\epsilon)^2 f(\alpha) e^{-\pi m^2/e\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (34)$$

Here, m is the renormalized observable mass of the charged particles. In accordance with this condition, the radiative corrections in the weak field change only the pre-exponential factor $f(\alpha) = f^{(1)} + \alpha f^{(2)} + \alpha^2 f^{(3)} + \dots$, and do not change the argument of the exponential, which by virtue of the quasiclassical situation plays in the mass renormalization a part similar to the part played by the classical Thomson cross section in charge renormalization, namely, in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the radiative corrections to m^2 tend to zero like the radiative corrections

to the cross section of the Compton effect when the photon frequency tends to zero, $\omega \rightarrow 0$; see [28].

Thus, the boundary condition (34) imposed on the imaginary part of the exact Lagrange function uniquely fixes the mass renormalization [19]. Because the exponentials with $n \geq 2$ are quasiclassical, the singularities of the type $C\beta^{-1}$, $n\beta \ll 1$, disappear after the mass renormalization in all terms of the virial expansion with $n \geq 2$. Such singularities would lead after exponentiation to a finite, nonvanishing as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, mass shift in the terms with $n \geq 2$, and this would be in contradiction with a quasiclassical nature of the exponentials, where m is defined as the physical mass of the particle [19]. Thus, to fix the mass renormalization uniquely, a boundary condition of the type (34) could be imposed on any term of the virial series. This emphasizes once more the independent physical meaning of each of these terms.

7 Interpretation of the field dependent radiative corrections to electron mass

The radiative correction to the n -th term of the virial expansion for $n\beta \ll 1$ has the following remarkable properties, see (33):

- 1) it does not depend on the spin s ;
- 2) for $n \geq 2$ it has a term singular in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$, in contrast to the correction to the leading term $n = 1$;
- 3) the singular and the constant terms have different signs;
- 4) for $n \gg 1$ the correction depends on n and β only through the product $n\beta$, since $c_n \approx (\pi/2)n^{-1/2}$ for $n \gg 1$.

All these properties obtain a simple physical explanation.

Since the terms of the virial expansion have an independent physical meaning, the radiative corrections to them must not increase unboundedly with decreasing field. This suggests that allowance for the further corrections of order $\alpha^2, \alpha^3, \dots$, will lead to exponentiation of the correction in (33):

$$\left[\frac{1}{n^2} + \alpha\pi \left(-\frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\beta}} + 1 + \dots \right) \right] \exp \left(-\frac{n\pi m^2}{e\epsilon} \right) \approx \frac{1}{n^2} \exp \left(-\frac{n\pi m_*^2}{e\epsilon} \right), \quad (35)$$

where

$$m_*(n) = m + \frac{1}{2}\alpha n c_n \sqrt{e\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha n \frac{e\epsilon}{m}, \quad n\beta \ll 1, \quad (36)$$

i.e., $2\text{Im}(\mathcal{L}^{(1)} + \mathcal{L}^{(2)} + \dots)$ acquires the form of the virial series (29) with replacement of the mass m in the weak field by the mass $m_*(n)$ determined by the expression (36).

The properties of the radiative correction listed above are now transformed into properties of the mass shift (36) and can be explained by means of a picture of the coherent production of n pairs [24]. The coherent tunneling of n particles separated from each other by the longitudinal distance $\Delta r_{\parallel} \sim m^{-1}$ takes place as the tunneling of one particle with charge $Q = ne$ and mass $M = nm$ through a barrier of width $2M/Q\epsilon = 2m/e\epsilon$. Therefore, to coherent tunneling of n particles there corresponds the quasiclassical exponential $\exp(-n\pi/\beta)$, and

their distribution with respect to the transverse momenta has the form $(\bar{n}_p)^n$. Consequently, the effective value of the transverse momentum of a particle in the group is $p_\perp \sim \sqrt{e\epsilon/n}$, and the effective transverse distance between the particles is $r_\perp \sim \sqrt{n/e\epsilon}$. As a result of the coherent tunneling two narrow groups of oppositely charged particles are formed during the time $\Delta t \sim m/e\epsilon$ with distance $r_\parallel \approx 2m/e\epsilon$ between them:

$$\Delta r_\parallel \sim \frac{n}{m} \ll r_\perp \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{e\epsilon}} \ll \frac{2m}{e\epsilon}. \quad (37)$$

The condition (37) is equivalent to the condition $n\beta \ll 1$, under which the asymptotic behavior (33) is valid.

The negative term $-(1/2)\alpha n(e\epsilon/m)$ of the mass shift (36) does not depend on $\hbar$ and can be interpreted as the energy of effective attraction of an individual charge to the n charges of the opposite sign in the coherent group at distance $r_\parallel \approx 2m/e\epsilon$ from it:

$$-\frac{ne^2}{4\pi r_\parallel} \Big|_{r_\parallel=2m/e\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha n \frac{e\epsilon}{m}. \quad (38)$$

For $n = 1$, the negative term of the shift (36) exhausts the entire shift and is equal to the classical mass shift of an accelerated charge found by author in [29].

The positive term $(1/2)\alpha n c_n \sqrt{e\epsilon}$ of the shift (36) depends on $\hbar$ and is the energy of the Coulomb repulsion of an individual charge by the remaining $n - 1$ like charges, the partners in the coherent group, which are at distance $r_\perp \sim \sqrt{n\hbar c/e\epsilon}$ from it (see (37)):

$$\frac{(n-1)e^2}{4\pi r_\perp} \Big|_{r_\perp \sim \sqrt{n\hbar c/e\epsilon}} \sim \alpha \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\hbar c e \epsilon}. \quad (39)$$

The estimates (38) and (39) reproduce all the qualitative features of the shift (36), including the fact that it does not depend on the spin.

Particular attention should be drawn to the agreement between the mass shift for the leading term $n = 1$ and the mass shift of a uniformly accelerated charge [29]. This casts light on the reason for the radiative enhancement of pair production by a weak electric field: the accelerated charges are lighter than the unaccelerated charges, and therefore they can be more readily produced. In addition, this also makes it possible to give a new interpretation of the shift itself. Whereas in the scattering channel “ $e + \text{field} \rightarrow e + \text{field}$ ” it can be interpreted as a reactive energy [30], or as a manifestation of the clock paradox [31], or as a red shift of the proper field energy of accelerated charge [32], in the cross channel “ $\text{field} \rightarrow e^+ e^- + \text{field}$ ” it can be regarded as an effective attraction between the $e^+ e^-$ in their region of formation.

8 Lagrange function of an intense field from the view-point of the renormalization group

The relation (20) for the Lagrange function expresses its invariance with respect to renormalization of the field, charge and mass:

$$\mathcal{L}(e_0 F_0, \alpha_0, m_0^2, im_0^2 s_0) = \mathcal{L}_R(eF, \alpha, m^2). \quad (40)$$

If in the left-hand side of (40) we carry out the mass renormalization and use the invariance of the product $e_0 F_0 = eF$, this relation takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}(eF, \alpha_0, m^2, im^2 s_0) = \mathcal{L}_R(eF, \alpha, m^2), \quad (41)$$

with

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 Z_3(im^2 s_0, \alpha_0),$$

cf. (17) and (19). By dividing both sides of the equality (41) by the renorm-invariant function

$$\alpha \mathcal{L}_R^{(0)} \equiv -\frac{(eF)^2}{16\pi}$$

and denoting the ratios $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_R/\mathcal{L}_R^{(0)}$ by l and l_R , we obtain in place of (41) an invariance relation for the dimensionless functions:

$$\alpha_0^{-1} l\left(\frac{eF}{m^2}, \alpha_0, im^2 s_0\right) = \alpha^{-1} l_R\left(\frac{eF}{m^2}, \alpha\right). \quad (42)$$

The function $\alpha^{-1} l_R$ may be called the inverse square of the renorm-invariant charge.

We shall assume that for $eF/m^2 \rightarrow \infty$ the function l has an asymptotic form that does not depend on m^2 , namely the $\lim l = l_\infty(im^2 s_0, \alpha_0)$. More precisely, we assume that when one of the field parameters tends to infinity, e.g., $e\eta/m^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic form of l depends neither on m^2 nor on the second parameter $e\epsilon$. This masslessness assumption is fulfilled for the function $l = 1 + l^{(1)} + l^{(2)}$ in the approximation found (cf. (12) and (15)). Then, substitution into l_∞ the quantity $im^2 s_0 = \varphi(\alpha, \alpha_0)$ found from the relation $\alpha = \alpha_0 Z_3(im^2 s_0, \alpha_0)$, we obtain, according to (42),

$$\alpha_0^{-1} l_\infty\left(\frac{e\eta}{m^2} \varphi(\alpha, \alpha_0), \alpha_0\right) = \alpha^{-1} l_{R\infty}\left(\frac{e\eta}{m^2}, \alpha\right). \quad (43)$$

Inasmuch as the right-hand side does not depend on α_0 , the left-hand side should also not depend on α_0 . Consequently, the left-hand side has the form $\Phi[e\eta m^{-2} \varphi(\alpha)]$, i.e., is a function of one variable. This means that the function

$$\alpha^{-1} l_{R\infty}\left(\frac{e\eta}{m^2}, \alpha\right) = \Phi\left[\frac{e\eta}{m^2} \varphi(\alpha)\right] \quad (44)$$

satisfies the Callan-Symanzik equation [33,34]

$$\left(m^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} + \alpha \beta(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right) \alpha^{-1} l_{R\infty} \left(\frac{e\eta}{m^2}, \alpha\right) = 0,$$

$$\beta(\alpha) = \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\alpha \varphi'(\alpha)} = \frac{m^2 dZ_3}{Z_3 dm^2}. \quad (45)$$

The derivative dZ_3/dm^2 is calculated at fixed s_0 and α_0 .

Note that differentiating equality (42) with respect to m^2 with fixed values of eF , α_0 and s_0 we may obtain the Callan-Symanzik equation for nonasymptotic function $\alpha^{-1}l_R$:

$$\left(m^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} + \alpha \beta(\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}\right) \alpha^{-1} l_R \left(\frac{eF}{m^2}, \alpha\right) = m^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \left[\alpha_0^{-1} l \left(\frac{eF}{m^2}, \alpha_0, im^2 s_0\right) \right]. \quad (46)$$

If in the asymptotic domain $eFm^{-2} \gg 1$ the masslessness assumption is valid for l , then in this region the right half of (46) vanishes and the equation goes over to (45).

According to perturbation theory, the function $l_{R\infty}$ can be expanded in the following series in powers of α :

$$l_{R\infty} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} (a_{10} + a_{11}z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{nk} z^k, \quad z = \ln \frac{e\eta}{\gamma \pi m^2}. \quad (47)$$

Its coefficients a_{nk} are, in accordance with (45), related to each other and to the coefficients of the power series for $\beta(\alpha)$

$$\beta(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^k = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 - \frac{121}{288} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + \dots \quad (48)$$

by the relations

$$a_{00} = 1, \quad a_{n1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (i-1) a_{i0} \beta_{n-i}, \quad k a_{nk} = \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} (i-1) a_{ik-1} \beta_{n-i}, \quad k \geq 2. \quad (49)$$

It follows from these relations that in terms of order α^n , $n \geq 2$, the exponent of the highest power of the logarithm will be $n-1$. The coefficients of the highest powers of the logarithms in (47) are determined entirely by the coefficients β_1 and β_2 :

$$a_{11} = -\beta_1, \quad a_{nn-1} = -\frac{\beta_2 \beta_1^{n-2}}{n-1}, \quad (50)$$

while the coefficients a_{nn-r} , $2 \leq r \leq n-1$, of the other logarithms are determined by the coefficients $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{r+1}$ and the constants $a_{20}, a_{30}, \dots, a_{r0}$. The latter constants, together with a_{10} , reflect the structure of the function Φ and its arbitrariness—the arbitrariness of the renormalization group: the function $l_{R\infty}$ is characterized by fully determined values of its constants a_{n0} if $e = \sqrt{4\pi\alpha}$ and m are the charge and mass of a real electron. Other values of

a_{n0} will correspond to a different meaning of the parameters e and m . On the other hand, only by the constants a_{n0} can the asymptotic form $l_{R\infty}$ of the Lagrange function at large fields be distinguished from the asymptotic form $\pi_{R\infty}$ of the polarization function at large momenta.

Using (50) and formulas not written out here for the coefficients a_{nn-r} of the logarithms of lower rank r , $2 \leq r \leq n-2$, we can find the contribution to the sum (47) from the logarithms of the first, second, etc., ranks $r = 1, 2, \dots$ in all orders in α :

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^r L_r &= \sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n a_{n,n-r} z^{n-r}, \\ L_1 &= \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \ln(1-x), \quad x = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \beta_1 z, \\ L_2 &= \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^2 \frac{\ln(1-x) + x}{1-x} + \left(a_{20} - \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_1}\right) \frac{x}{1-x}, \\ L_3 &= -\left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right)^3 \frac{\ln^2(1-x) - x^2}{2(1-x)^2} + \frac{\beta_2 \beta_3}{\beta_1^2} \frac{\ln(1-x) + x(1-x)}{(1-x)^2} - \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta_2 a_{20}}{\beta_1} \frac{\ln(1-x)}{(1-x)^2} + \left(a_{30} - \frac{\beta_4}{2\beta_1}\right) \frac{x(2-x)}{(1-x)^2}, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and so the improved series for $l_{R\infty}$ is of the form

$$l_{R\infty} = 1 - x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^n (L_n(x) + a_{n0}), \quad x = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \beta_1 \ln \frac{e\eta}{\gamma \pi m^2}. \quad (52)$$

In this series, L_1 and $a_{10} = -2\pi^{-2}\zeta'(2)$ are completely determined, and to determine L_2 we need only know the constant a_{20} , since β_3 is known from the study of the polarization function π_R ; cf. (48).

We call attention to the singularities of the functions $L_n(x)$ at the point $x = 1$, which prevent us from using the series (52) near this point, at which the zeroth approximation for $l_{R\infty}$ vanishes. Unlike the initial perturbation-theory series, which is applicable for $x \ll 1$, the improved series (52) is applicable in a wider range of x , including values of x that are of the order of 1 but not too close to unity, viz., for

$$\frac{\alpha}{\pi}, \quad \frac{\alpha \ln(1-x)^{-1}}{\pi(1-x)} \ll 1. \quad (53)$$

The larger of the quantities on the left is the applicability parameter of the improved perturbation theory with respect to the radiation field in the electrodynamics of an intense field with no particles.

9 Formation region, pair creation by electric field and by a particle in a field and other remarks

The expressions (1) and (13) for Lagrange function in one- and two-loop approximations describe the vacuum polarization by constant homogeneous electromagnetic field.

The slowly varying field F may be considered as a constant and homogeneous one if the length λ and time τ on and at which it changes appreciably is large in comparison with the length and time on and at which the kinetic energy and momentum of a charge are changed by this field on the quantities mc^2 and mc :

$$\lambda \gg \frac{mc^2}{eF}, \quad \tau \gg \frac{mc}{eF}. \quad (54)$$

The length mc^2/eF and time mc/eF may be considered as the length and time of formation of the process.

Condition (54) coincides with the multiphotonness condition for the processes in plane wave fields for which the invariant parameter $\xi = eF\lambda/mc^2 \gg 1$ [35].

However, for the processes of pair creation by a weak electric field, when the probabilities are exponentially small, the variation of the field may be neglected at more hard condition

$$\lambda \gg \frac{mc^2}{e\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{m^2 c^3}{\hbar e \epsilon}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\hbar e \epsilon}{m^2 c^3} \ll 1, \quad (55)$$

see [36].

In very strong fields the processes are formed on the lengths of the order of $\sqrt{\hbar c/eF}$, which are small in comparison with Compton length $\hbar/mc$, so that the variation of the field may be neglected if

$$\lambda \gg \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{eF}}, \quad \frac{\hbar e F}{m^2 c^3} \gg 1, \quad (56)$$

see [37],[19].

These conditions are confirmed in consideration of one-loop Lagrange function of non-stationary electromagnetic field [38].

The processes caused in a constant field by a real particle with momentum p_α are defined not only by the field strengthes ϵ and η but also by the important parameter

$$\chi = \frac{\sqrt{(eF_{\alpha\beta}p^\beta)^2}}{m^3}, \quad (57)$$

which becomes the main one for large particle energies $p^0 \gg m$, when

$$\chi \gg \frac{e\epsilon}{m^2}, \quad \frac{e\eta}{m^2} \quad (58)$$

and in a system where $p^0 \sim m$ the field looks as a crossed field.

The probability of pair creation by a charged particle moving in a crossed field with momentum p_α is proportional to

$$\exp\left(-\frac{16}{3\chi}\right), \quad \text{if } \chi \ll 1. \quad (59)$$

For the pair creation of light particles with masses m' by heavy charged particle with mass m and momentum p_α in a crossed field the probability is proportional to

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\zeta}\right), \quad \text{if } \zeta = \chi \frac{m^2}{m'^2} \ll 1, \quad m' \ll m. \quad (60)$$

The probability of pair creation by a photon with momentum k_α in a linearly- or circularly-polarized monochromatic wave with amplitude F and frequency ω is proportional to

$$\exp\left[-\frac{8}{3\chi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{10\xi^2}\right)\right] \quad \text{or} \quad \exp\left[-\frac{8}{3\chi}\left(1 - \frac{1}{15\xi^2}\right)\right], \quad (61)$$

if

$$\chi = \frac{\sqrt{(eF_{\alpha\beta}k^\beta)^2}}{m^3} \ll 1, \quad \xi = \frac{eF}{m\omega} \gg 1, \quad \xi^2\chi \geq 1.$$

All exponentials in (59-61) have different exponents and essentially differ from exponential $\exp(-\pi m^2/e\epsilon)$ defining the probability of pair production by electric field. See [39,35,36] about these processes.

Recently two-loop Lagrange functions of a constant field in spinor and scalar QED were recalculated by Fliegner, Reuter, Schmidt and Schubert [40] using dimentional regularization instead of proper-time one. Unfortunately, their expressions contain only magnetic field, so that very interesting effects caused by electric field turn out to be omitted.

The problems connected with the Lagrange function of intensive electromagnetic field in quantum electrodynamics once more were considered and analysed by author in a detailed survey [41].

This work was supported by Russian Fund for Fundamental Research, grant No 96-02-17314.

Appendix

Here the functions K and K_0 defining the proper-time representation of $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ in spinor electrodynamics are presented:

$$\begin{aligned} K(s, s') &= e^{-im^2(s+s')} \left\{ \frac{e^4\eta^2\epsilon^2}{PP'} \left[4m^2(SS' + PP')I_0 - iI \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{ss'(s+s')} \left[4m^2 - \frac{2i}{s+s'} + \frac{e^2(\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)}{3} \left(2m^2(ss' - 2s^2 - 2s'^2) - \frac{5iss'}{s+s'} \right) \right] \right\}, \\ K_0(s) &= e^{-im^2s} \left(4m^2 + i\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) \left(\frac{e^2\eta\epsilon}{\tan e\eta s \tanh e\epsilon s} - \frac{1}{s^2} + \frac{e^2(\eta^2 - \epsilon^2)}{3} \right), \\ I_0 &= \frac{1}{b-a} \ln \frac{b}{a}, \quad I = \frac{q-p}{b-a} I_0 - \frac{qb^{-1} - pa^{-1}}{b-a}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= e\eta(\cot e\eta s + \cot e\eta s'), & b &= e\epsilon(\coth e\epsilon s + \coth e\epsilon s'), \\
p &= \frac{2e^2\eta^2 \cosh e\epsilon(s' - s)}{\sin e\eta s \sin e\eta s'}, & q &= \frac{2e^2\epsilon^2 \cos e\eta(s' - s)}{\sinh e\epsilon s \sinh e\epsilon s'}, \\
P &= \sin e\eta s \sinh e\epsilon s, & S &= \cos e\eta s \cosh e\epsilon s.
\end{aligned}$$

The functions K and K_0 for $\mathcal{L}_R^{(2)}$ in scalar electrodynamics are different but have similar structure, see [20].

References

- [1] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936).
- [2] V. Weisskopf, K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-fys. Medd. **14**, No. 6 (1936).
- [3] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).
- [4] R. Serber, Phys. Rev. **48**, 49 (1935).
- [5] E. A. Uehling, Phys. Rev. **48**, 55 (1935).
- [6] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **75**, 651 (1949).
- [7] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. **76**, 769 (1949).
- [8] L. D. Landau, A. A. Abrikosov and I. M. Khalatnikov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **95**, 773, 1177; **96**, 261 (1954).
- [9] M. Gell-Mann and F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1300 (1954).
- [10] K. Johnson, M. Baker and R. Willey, Phys. Rev. **136**, B1111 (1964).
- [11] K. Johnson, R. Willey and M. Baker, Phys. Rev. **163**, 1699 (1967).
- [12] M. Baker and K. Johnson, **183**, 1292 (1969); **D3** 2516, 2541 (1971).
- [13] S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. **D5**, 3021 (1972).
- [14] E. de Rafael and J. L. Rosner, Ann. Phys. (NY) **82**, 369 (1974).
- [15] R. Jost and J. M. Luttinger, Helv. Phys. Acta **23**, 201 (1950).
- [16] M. Baranger, F. J. Dyson and E. E. Salpeter, Phys. Rev. **88**, 680 (1952).
- [17] G. Källen and A. Sabry, K. Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-fys. Medd. **29**, No. 17 (1955).
- [18] J. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1190 (1966); Ann. Phys. (NY) **44**, 11 (1967).
- [19] V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **69**, 1517 (1975) [Sov. Phys. JETP **42**, 774 (1975)].
- [20] V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **73**, 807 (1977) [Sov. Phys. JETP **46**, 423 (1977)].
- [21] V. Fock, Phys. Z. Sowjet. **12**, 404 (1937).
- [22] A. I. Nikishov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **57**, 1210 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP **30**, 660 (1970)].
- [23] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics, Pergamon Press, Oxford (1974).
- [24] V. I. Ritus, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **275**, 611 (1984).
- [25] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, Vol.1, 3rd ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford (1980).
- [26] S. L. Lebedev and V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **86**, 408 (1984) [Sov. Phys. JETP **59**, 237 (1984)].
- [27] M. S. Marinov and V. S. Popov, Yad. Fiz. **15**, 1271 (1972) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15**, 702 (1972)]; Fortschr. Phys. **25**, 373 (1977).

- [28] A. I. Akhiezer and V. B. Berestetskii, Quantum electrodynamics [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1981).
- [29] V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **75**, 1560 (1978) [Sov. Phys. JETP **48**, 788 (1978)].
- [30] V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **80**, 1288 (1981) [Sov. Phys. JETP **53**, 659 (1981)].
- [31] V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **82**, 1375 (1982) [Sov. Phys. JETP **55**, 799 (1982)].
- [32] V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **92**, 1936 (1987) [Sov. Phys. JETP **65**, 1087 (1987)].
- [33] C. G. Callan, Jr., Phys. Rev. **D82**, 1541 (1970).
- [34] K. Symanzik, Commun. Math. Phys. **18**, 227 (1970); **23**, 49 (1971).
- [35] V. I. Ritus, Quantum Electrodynamics of Phenomena in a Strong Field [in Russian], Tr. Fiz. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 111, Nauka, Moscow (1979). Journal of Soviet Laser Research, Vol. 6, No 5, Sept.-Oct., 497-618 (1985).
- [36] A. I. Nikishov, Quantum Electrodynamics of Phenomena in a Strong Field [in Russian], Tr. Fiz. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vol. 111, Nauka, Moscow (1979). Journal of Soviet Laser Research, Vol. 6, No 6, Nov.-Dec., 619-728 (1985).
- [37] A. B. Migdal, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **62**, 1621 (1972).
- [38] S. G. Mamayev, V. M. Mostepanenko, M. I. Eudes, Yad.Fiz. **33**, 1075 (1981).
- [39] V. I. Ritus, Nucl. Phys. **B44**, 236 (1972).
- [40] M. Reuter, D. Fliegner, M. G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **113**, No 2, 289 (1997).
- [41] V. I. Ritus, Issues in Intense-field Quantum Electrodynamics, Proc. Lebedev Phys. Inst. Acad. Sci. USSR, Vol. 168, Nova Sci. Pub., Commack (1987).